The digital levy

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The objective of the initiative is to introduce a measure that allows for a fairer contribution from companies that operate in the digital sector, in order to support the economic recovery and provide a more stable medium-term outlook.

The questionnaire should take about 30 minutes to complete. The questionnaire is accessible in English in a first instance, but will be made available in all official EU languages shortly. You can submit your reply in any of the official EU languages.

Some of the questions are conditional on the type of respondent you represent, so you might not see all the questions and the numbering will seem interrupted. This is normal.

In addition to the introduction, the consultation is structured as follows:

- The 2nd section presents some general background information on the digital economy.
- The 3rd part of the questionnaire asks for some background information about you, the respondent. This is in order to better understand your perspective.
- The 4th part covers the current international taxation framework and its shortcomings.
- The 5th part covers possible solutions to address those shortcomings.
- The final section allows you to upload a position paper or any kind of document that you think is relevant to better explain your views.

Context

The European Commission has set out strategic objectives on how to shape Europe's digital future and to help ensure that Europe is fit for the Digital Age. Technological advancements and digitalisation are profoundly changing the way we work, do business, how people travel, communicate and relate. These changes give rise to innovation, growth, and new business models, but also to important challenges. The COVID crisis has been a catalyst and accelerator of change, hastening the transition towards a more digital world and triggering important changes in behaviour that could have lasting effects.

Against this backdrop, the EU needs a modern, stable regulatory and tax framework to appropriately address the developments and challenges of the digital economy. Recently, the Commission adopted the <u>Digital Services Act package</u>, which aims at better protecting consumers and their fundamental rights online, and at promoting fairer and more open digital markets for everyone. This new proposal covers digital services, including social media, online market places, and other online platforms that operate in the EU. While digitalisation can increase productivity and consumer welfare, and should thus be fostered and

nurtured, it is also of paramount importance to ensure that digital companies contribute their fair share to society, since a prolonged unequal distribution of rights and responsibilities undermines the social contract.

Work is ongoing at the G20 and OECD level to find a global solution that can support a reform of the international corporate tax framework in order to address some of the challenges related to the digitalisation of the economy. A number of elements remain to be agreed, but there are indications that the OECD agreement will focus on large, multinational enterprise groups and a limited number of pre-defined activities linked to digitalisation. In the absence of a global agreement, some Member States have in the meantime introduced certain temporary tax measures affecting businesses that are part of the digital economy.

In its conclusions of 21 July 2020, and in view of a need to support the EU's borrowing and repayment capacity, the European Council tasked the Commission with putting forward proposals for additional own resources. The digital levy is one of them. The new initiative will help address the issue of fair taxation related to the digitalisation of the economy but will not interfere with the ongoing work at G20 and OECD level on a reform of the international corporate tax framework.

The main objective of the initiative is to come forward with a measure that allows for a fairer contribution from the companies that operate in the digital sphere for the purposes of the recovery and to support a more stable medium-term outlook. Modernising tax rules to better fit current circumstances and the expected increase in digitalisation should ensure that the measure fits the reality of the digital economy better and addresses future developments head on, limiting the risk of short-term solutions and distortions across businesses of different types and origins.

The initiative will be designed in a way that is consistent with the Digital Services Act package and the Commission's digital strategy for ensuring a fair and competitive digital economy, as announced in the Communication 'Shaping Europe's digital future'.

About you

*1 Laı	nguage of my contribution
0	Bulgarian
0	Croatian
0	Czech
0	Danish
0	Dutch
0	English
0	Estonian
0	Finnish
0	French
0	German
	Greek

	Hungarian
	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
	Spanish
	Swedish
2	am giving my contribution as
	 Academic/research institution
	Business association
	Company/business organisation
	Consumer organisation
	© EU citizen
	Environmental organisation
	Non-EU citizen
	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
	Public authority
	Trade union
	Other
) [First name
ا ر 	IIST HATTIC
4 S	Surname
5 E	Email (this won't be published)

*6 Scope
International
© Local
National
Regional
*7 Level of governance
Local Authority
Local Agency
*8 Level of governance
Parliament
Authority
Agency
*9 Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
*10 Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
11 Does your company mainly operate in the digital economy?
Yes
O No
Don't know
10.0
12 Does your company operate in more than one country?
Yes
O No
Don't know

13 W	hat are the geogra	aph	nical regions on wh	nicl	n your company o	pei	ations are
focus	ed?						
	The European Un	nior	ı (EU)				
	Europe (countries	οι	utside the EU)				
	North America						
	South America						
	Middle East						
	Africa						
	Asia						
	Australia						
	ansparency regist	ter	number				
	character(s) maximum if your organisation is or	n the	e transparency register. It	's a	voluntary database for o	rgai	nisations seeking to
	ce EU decision-making.						
	ountry of origin						
_	e add your country of orig Afghanistan	gin, d	or that of your organisation Djibouti	on.	Libya	0	Saint Martin
_	Åland Islands	0	•	0	Libya		
	Alano Islanos		Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre
0	Albania	0	Dominican	0	Lithuania	0	and Miquelon Saint Vincent
	Albania		Republic		Lilliualiia		and the
			Периопо				Grenadines
	Algeria	0	Ecuador	0	Luxembourg	0	Samoa
	American	0	Egypt	0	Macau	0	San Marino
	Samoa		Едурі		Macau		San Manno
	Andorra	0	El Salvador	0	Madagascar	0	São Tomé and
	Alidoria		Li Gaivadoi		Madagascar		Príncipe
0	Angola	0	Equatorial	0	Malawi	0	Saudi Arabia
	7 11 19 Old		Guinea		Malawi		Cadai / ii abia
0	Anguilla	0	Eritrea	0	Malaysia	0	Senegal
_	Antarctica	0	Estonia	0	Maldives	0	Serbia
	Antigua and	0	Eswatini	0	Mali	0	Seychelles
	Barbuda						20,0.101100
	Argentina		Ethiopia	0	Malta	0	Sierra Leone

Armenia	Falkland Islands	MarshallIslands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon
			Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French	Micronesia	South Africa
	Polynesia		
Bangladesh	French	Moldova	South Georgia
	Southern and		and the South
	Antarctic Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba	0 0	O Name I	O O wie
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory	Guillea-Dissau	ivicaragua	mananu
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Dillion Virgin	S-014 # 141 114	1 4154571	iiio Gaillbia

	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste
©	Bulgaria	©	Heard Island and McDonald	0	Niue	©	Togo
			Islands				
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi	0	Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea		Trinidad and
							Tobago
	Cameroon		Iceland		North		Tunisia
					Macedonia		
	Canada		India		Norway		Turkey
	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan		Turks and
							Caicos Islands
	Central African		Iraq		Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine		Uganda
	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama		Ukraine
	China		Israel		Papua New		United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
	Christmas		Italy		Paraguay		United
	Island						Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States
	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines		United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
0	Colombia		Jersey		Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
	Comoros		Jordan		Poland		US Virgin
							Islands
0	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion		Venezuela
	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam

Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda	Western
			Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint	Yemen
		Barthélemy	
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da	
		Cunha	
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*16 Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself.

Public

Your name, the type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published.

*17 Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

■ I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Current problems

18 To what extent are the tax systems in the EU adapted to the challenges of a global and digital economy?

- To a great extent
- To some extent
- To a little extent
- Not at all
- Don't know

19 To what extent do you agree with the following statements on digital companies:

	Strongly agree Neutral		Disagree	Strongly disagree	l don' t know	
Digital companies have created useful innovations and it is justified that they make large profits.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Users participate in the creation of value of digital companies, e.g. by sharing their data.	0	0	0	0	0	0

Many digital companies are emerging from the Covid-19 health crisis more profitable and with larger market shares.	•	0	•	•	•	•
Digital companies benefit from the EU single market.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Digital companies are treated differently by governments than non-digital companies of similar size.	0	0	•	0	0	•

20 To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding taxation in the digital economy:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l don' t know
In the digital economy some digital activities are taxed less than non-digital economic activities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The sale of user data by digital companies should be taxed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Many digital companies do not pay their fair share of taxes.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tax authorities are not able to appropriately collect taxes on digital activities.	0	0	0	0	0	0

21 What are the most important taxation challenges that digitalisation brings **for businesses**?

Please rank from 1 to 5 (1 being the most important challenge)

	1 (most important)	2	3	4	5 (least important)
Disadvantage for traditional businesses compared to digital competitors because of a different tax treatment.	0	0	0	0	0
Uncertainty related to tax obligations when operating in different countries.	0	0	0	0	0
Uncertainty related to future taxation solutions for new business models.	0	0	0	0	0
Difficulties in identifying profits for different digital activities.	0	0	0	0	0

Other (p	lease specify)	0	0	0	0	0
22 Please	specify:					
national ta	re the most important taxation challe ax systems? ok from 1 to 5 (1 being the most impo			satio	n brin	gs for
		1 (most important)	2	3	4	5 (least important)
markets	nies can easily service customers across various , without being located or resident in those urisdictions.	0	0	0	0	0
through	ses acquire new sources of revenue (e.g. gathering/exploitation of data, cloud computing, etc.) that are not properly taxed.	0	0	0	0	0
	dvantage of companies operating cross-border al companies, due to increased tax-planning nities.	0	0	0	0	0
	es to establish the tax liability of a company due omplex value chain.	0	0	0	0	0
Other (p	lease specify)	0	0	0	0	0
24 Please	specify:					
aware of s Yes No	are several national approaches now uch measures? : know	to taxing di	gital	comp	anies	s. Are you
digital com	hoices possible) ria	ational appro	oache	es to	the ta	xation of
Bulga	aria					

Croatia
Cyprus
Czechia
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malta Malta
Netherlands
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Slovak Republic
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
27 Which massures taken by ELL countries at national level to tay the digital
27 Which measures taken by EU countries at national level to tax the digital economy do you consider are most effective, and why?
1000 character(s) maximum
28 How do you view the effort by individual ELL countries to tax the digital

28 How do you view the effort by individual EU countries to tax the digital economy?

To what extent do you agree with the following statement:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don' t know
EU countries face a specific, domestic context when it comes to digital activities on their territory and should be addressing these issues at national level.	0	0	0	•	0	0
EU countries' policies would be more effective if coordinated on an EU level.	0	0	0	0	0	0
A divergence between national policies risks fragmenting the European single digital market, and making these policies less effective.	0	0	0	•	0	0

Possible solutions

29 On what level do you think the problem of taxation of the digital economy is best solved?

Please respond by ranking options in the order of importance/appropriateness (1 being the most important/appropriate)

	1 (most important)	2	3	4	5 (least important)
Subnational level	0	0	0	0	0
National level	0	0	0	0	0
EU Level	0	0	0	0	0
Multilateral agreement involving countries beyond the EU	0	0	0	0	0
A combination of the above	0	0	0	0	0

30 Work is ongoing at the OECD and G20 to find a global consensus-based solution to the tax challenges arising from the digitalisation of the economy. One part of this discussion (Pillar 1) focuses on the reallocation of profits by expanding the taxing rights of market jurisdictions to compensate the digital activities performed remotely and directed at those market jurisdictions.

proachc	se woul	d bo i	icoful ac	
•			iseiui as	
	Very useful		Not useful	Don' t know
or all	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0
	©	0	0	0
g objecti	ves of t	he diç	gital levy	
Very important	Impo	rtant	Not important	Don't know
0				0
0				
				0
©			© ©	0
	r all yery	Very useful r all g objectives of t	Very Useful r all O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Very useful Useful Not useful r all O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O

To what extent do you see the objectives of these negotiations on Pillar 1 as

complementary to the objectives of the digital levy?

Online gaming financed by advertisement or sale of user data
Online gaming financed by subscription fees
Standardised online teaching financed by advertisement or sale of user data
Standardised online teaching financed by subscription fees
Online intermediation services
Online intermediation platforms for accommodation
Online intermediation platforms for mobility
Online intermediation platforms for utilities and communication (price
comparison platforms for gas, electricity, phone etc.)
Streaming of content (music and/or video) financed by subscription fees
Streaming of content (music and/or video) financed by advertisement
Online news outlets financed by subscription fees
Online news outlets financed by advertisement
Online sale of physical goods
Online sale of physical services (plane ticket, hotel room, cleaning lady etc.)
Online sale of software
Cloud computing services
Sale of user data
Other (please specify):
34 Please specify:
54 Flease specify.

35 How important do you see the following issues in the design of the taxation measure under consideration?

	Very important	Somewhat important	Not important	l don' t know
The definition of digital activities or digital companies, which are subject to the tax.	0	0	0	0
The type of tax envisaged (e.g. whether it would be a tax on specific activities, transactions, turnover or profits).	0	0	0	0
The ability of the tax to cover companies with residency inside and outside of the EU.	0	0	0	0

Fairness considerations, taking into account the possible impact on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), digital companies with a dominant versus weak market position, or consumers.	•	•	0	•
Potential behavioural reactions to avoid the payment of the new tax.	0	0	0	0
Potential additional tax reporting requirements on companies.	0	0	0	0
Additional administrative requirements for relevant tax authorities.	0	0	0	0

36 The accelerated development of the Digital Union will be characterised by the creation of fast growing companies albeit often accompanied by multiple failures. Against this background please indicate how you consider that Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs) should be treated by the current digital levy proposal.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don' t know)
SMEs should be excluded from the scope of the tax.	0	0	0	0	0	0
SMEs should benefit from an exemption.	0	0	0	0	0	0
SMEs should face a lower tax burden (e.g. though an allowance) than larger companies.	0	0	0	0	0	0
SMEs should not be treated differently than larger companies.	0	0	0	0	0	0

37 What would you consider the minimal economic activity (in terms of revenue) a digital company should have in the EU in order to be liable to the digital levy?

- EUR 500 thousand
- EUR 1 million
- EUR 5 million
- EUR 10 million
- EUR 20 million
- Other, please specify
- Don't know

38 Please specify:

39 In order to identify where the revenues/profits are generated and how to determine the place of taxation, which of the following options do you consider the most appropriate.

- IP address of the user
- One method of geolocation, to be chosen by the taxable person
- One method of geolocation chosen by the taxable person from a preestablished list
- Two methods of geolocation chosen by the taxable person
- Two methods of geolocation chosen by the taxable person from a preestablished list
- Don't know

Final remarks

Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper or study that could supports or details your position. The maximal file size is 1MB.

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

In case you have chosen to remain anonymous, please make sure you remove any personal identification data from the document.

40 Please upload your file

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed